

This is a repository copy of Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/123989/

Version: Accepted Version

# Article:

Hughes, TP, Kerry, JT, Álvarez-Noriega, M et al. (43 more authors) (2017) Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals. Nature, 543 (7645). pp. 373-377. ISSN 0028-0836

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21707

© 2017 Macmillan Publishers Limited, part of Springer Nature. All rights reserved. This is an author produced version of a paper published in Nature. Uploaded in accordance with the publisher's self-archiving policy.

#### Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

#### Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/ 

# Global warming and recurrent mass bleaching of corals

Terry P. Hughes<sup>1</sup>, James T. Kerry<sup>1</sup>, Mariana Álvarez-Noriega<sup>1,2</sup>, Jorge G. Álvarez-Romero<sup>1</sup>,

4	Kristen D. Anderson <sup>1</sup> , Andrew H. Baird <sup>1</sup> , Russell C. Babcock <sup>3</sup> , Maria Beger <sup>4</sup> , David R.
5	Bellwood <sup>1,2</sup> , Ray Berkelmans <sup>5</sup> , Tom C. Bridge <sup>1,6</sup> , Ian Butler <sup>7</sup> , Maria Byrne <sup>8</sup> , Neal E. Cantin <sup>9</sup> ,
6	Steve Comeau <sup>10</sup> , Sean R. Connolly <sup>1,2</sup> , Graeme S. Cumming <sup>1</sup> , Steven J. Dalton <sup>11</sup> , Guillermo
7	Diaz-Pulido <sup>12</sup> , C. Mark Eakin <sup>13</sup> , Will F. Figueira <sup>15</sup> , James P. Gilmour <sup>16</sup> , Hugo B. Harrison <sup>1</sup> ,
8	Scott F. Heron <sup>13, 14, 17</sup> , Andrew S. Hoey <sup>1</sup> , Jean-Paul A. Hobbs <sup>18</sup> , Mia O. Hoogenboom <sup>1,2</sup> ,
9	Emma V. Kennedy <sup>12</sup> , Chao-yang Kuo <sup>1</sup> , Janice M. Lough <sup>1,9</sup> , Ryan J. Lowe <sup>10</sup> , Gang Liu <sup>13, 14</sup> ,
10	Malcolm T. McCulloch <sup>10</sup> , Hamish A. Malcolm <sup>11</sup> , Michael J. McWilliam <sup>1</sup> , John M. Pandolfi <sup>7</sup> ,
11	Rachel J. Pears <sup>19</sup> , Morgan S. Pratchett <sup>1</sup> , Verena Schoepf <sup>10</sup> , Tristan Simpson <sup>20</sup> , William J.
12	Skirving <sup>13, 14</sup> , Brigitte Sommer <sup>7</sup> , Gergely Torda <sup>1,9</sup> , David R. Wachenfeld <sup>19</sup> , Bette L. Willis <sup>1,2</sup>
13	and Shaun K. Wilson <sup>21</sup>
14 15	<sup>1</sup> Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, James Cook University, Townsville, QLD 4811, Australia
16	<sup>2</sup> College of Marine & Environmental Sciences, James Cook University, Townsville,
17	Queensiand 4811, Australia
18	<sup>3</sup> Commonwealth Science and Industry Research Organization, GPO Box 2583 Brisbane, Qld
19	4001, Australia
20	<sup>4</sup> School of Biology, University of Leeds, Leeds, LS2 9JT, UK
21	<sup>5</sup> 24 Hanwood Court, Gilston QLD 4211
22	<sup>6</sup> Queensland Museum, 70-102 Flinders St, Townsville, QLD, 4810, Australia
23	<sup>7</sup> Australian Research Council, Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, School of
24	Biological Sciences, University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia

25	<sup>8</sup> School of Medical Sciences, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
26	<sup>9</sup> Australian Institute of Marine Science, PMB 3, Townsville, Queensland 4810, Australia
27	<sup>10</sup> Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence in Coral Reef Studies, Oceans Institute
28	and School of Earth and Environment, University of Western Australia, Western Australia
29	6009, Australia
30	<sup>11</sup> Fisheries Research, Department of Primary Industries, PO Box 4291, Coffs Harbour, NSW
31	2450, Australia
32	<sup>12</sup> School of Environment, and Australian Rivers Institute, Griffith University, Brisbane, QLD
33	4111, Australia
34	<sup>13</sup> Coral Reef Watch, U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, College Park,
35	MD 20740, USA
36	<sup>14</sup> Global Science & Technology, Inc., Greenbelt, MD 20770, USA
37	<sup>15</sup> School of Biological Sciences, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
38	<sup>16</sup> Australian Institute of Marine Science, Indian Oceans Marine Research Centre, UWA,
39	Crawley, WA 6009, Australia
40	<sup>17</sup> Marine Geophysical Laboratory, College of Science, Technology and Engineering, James
41	Cook University, Townsville, QLD 4811, Australia
42	<sup>18</sup> Department of Environment and Agriculture, Curtin University, Perth, WA 6845, Australia
43	<sup>19</sup> Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, PO Box 1379, Townsville, QLD 4810, Australia
44	<sup>20</sup> Torres Strait Regional Authority, PO Box 261, Thursday Island, QLD 4875, Australia
45	<sup>21</sup> Department of Parks and Wildlife, Kensington, Perth, WA 6151, Australia
46	

In 2015-2016, record temperatures triggered a pan-tropical episode of coral bleaching, 47 the third global-scale event since mass bleaching was first documented in the 1980s. 48 Here we examine how and why the severity of recurrent major bleaching events has 49 50 varied at multiple scales, using aerial and underwater surveys of Australian reefs combined with satellite-derived sea surface temperatures. The distinctive geographic 51 footprints of recurrent bleaching on the Great Barrier Reef in 1998, 2002 and 2016 were 52 determined by the spatial pattern of sea temperatures in each year. Water quality and 53 fishing pressure had minimal effect on the unprecidented bleaching in 2016, suggesting 54 55 that local protection of reefs affords little or no resistance to extreme heat. Similarly, past exposure to bleaching in 1998 and 2002 did not lessen the severity of bleaching in 56 2016. Consequently, immediate global action to curb future warming is essential to 57 secure a future for coral reefs. 58

60 The world's tropical reef ecosystems, and the people who depend on them, are increasingly impacted by climate change<sup>1-7</sup>. Since the 1980s, rising sea surface temperatures due to global 61 warming have triggered unprecedented mass bleaching of corals, including three pan-tropical 62 events in 1998, 2010 and  $2015/16^1$ . Thermal stress during marine heatwaves disrupts the 63 symbiotic relationship between corals and their algal symbionts (Symbiodinium) spp.), 64 causing the corals to lose their color<sup>2-3</sup>. Bleached corals are physiologically damaged, and 65 prolonged bleaching often leads to high levels of mortality<sup>5-8</sup>. Increasingly, individual reefs 66 are experiencing multiple bouts of bleaching, as well the impacts of more chronic local 67 stressors such as pollution and overfishing<sup>1-4</sup>. Our study represents a fundamental shift away 68 from viewing bleaching events as individual disturbances to reefs, by focussing on three 69 70 recurrent bleachings over the past 18 years along the 2,300 km length of the Great Barrier 71 Reef, as well as the potential influence of water quality and fishing pressure on the severity of bleaching. 72

73 The geographic footprints of mass bleaching of corals on the Great Barrier Reef have varied strikingly during three major events in 1998, 2002 and 2016 (Fig. 1a). In 1998, bleaching was 74 primarily coastal and most severe in the central and southern regions. In 2002, bleaching was 75 more widespread, and affected offshore reefs in the central region that had escaped in 1998<sup>8</sup>. 76 In 2016, bleaching was even more extensive and much more severe, especially in the 77 northern, and to a lesser extent the central regions, where many coastal, mid-shelf and 78 offshore reefs were affected (Fig. 1a, b). In 2016, the proportion of reefs experiencing 79 extreme bleaching (>60% of corals bleached) was over four times higher compared to 1998 80 or 2002 (Fig. 1f). Conversely, in 2016, only 8.9% of 1,156 surveyed reefs escaped with no 81 bleaching, compared to 42.4% of 631 reefs in 2002 and 44.7% of 638 in 1998. The 82 cumulative, combined footprint of all three major bleaching events now covers almost the 83

84 entire Great Barrier Reef Marine Park, with the exception of southern, offshore reefs (Fig.85 1d).

86

# 87 Explaining spatial patterns

88 The severity and distinctive geographic footprints of bleaching in each of the three 89 years can be explained by differences in the magnitude and spatial distribution of sea-surface 90 temperature anomalies (Fig. 1a, b and Extended Data Table 1). In each year, 61-63% of reefs 91 experienced four or more Degree Heating Weeks (DHW, °C-weeks). In 1998, heat stress was 92 relatively constrained, ranging from 1-8 DHWs (Fig. 1c). In 2002, the distribution of DHW was broader, and 14% of reefs encountered 8-10 DHWs. In 2016, the spectrum of DHWs 93 expanded further still, with 31% of reefs experiencing 8-16 DHWs (Fig. 1c). The largest heat 94 stress occurred in the northern 1000 km-long section of the Great Barrier Reef. Consequently, 95 the geographic pattern of severe bleaching in 2016 matched the strong north-south gradient in 96 97 heat stress. In contrast, in 1998 and 2002, heat stress extremes and severe bleaching were both prominent further south (Fig. 1a, b). In 2016, severe bleaching (defined as an aerial 98 score of >30% of corals bleached) was correctly predicted by satellite-derived DHW in a 99 100 statistical model, in 75% of cases (Extended Data Fig. 1 and Extended Data Table 1), similar to the amount of spatial variation in bleaching explained by temperature stress in 1998 and 101  $2002^{8}$ . 102

The geographic pattern of bleaching also demonstrates how marine heatwaves can be
ameliorated by local weather<sup>9</sup>, even during a global bleaching event. Arguably, southern reefs
of the Great Barrier Reef would also have bleached in 2016 if wind, cloud cover, and rain
from ex-Tropical Cyclone Winston had not rescued them<sup>10</sup>. Winston passed over Fiji on
February 20<sup>th</sup>, when the southern Great Barrier Reef was only 1°C cooler than the north. By
March 6<sup>th</sup>, this disparity increased to 4°C (Extended Data Fig. 2). Corals in the south that had

begun to pale in February regained their colour in the south in March, whereas bleaching
continued to progress in central and northern sectors (Fig. 2a). Similarly, in western Australia
in 2016, Tropical Cyclone Stan cooled down mid-coast regions in early February<sup>11</sup>, and the
Leeuwin Current (which transports warm tropical water southwards) was also weakened due
to El Niño conditions<sup>12</sup>. Consequently, both sides of tropical and sub-tropical Australia,
including offshore atolls in the Coral Sea and Indian Ocean, exhibited continental-scale
latitudinal gradients in bleaching (Fig. 1g).

116 The local (individual reef) scale pattern of recurrent bleaching on the Great Barrier Reef also 117 reveals the trend of increasing severity, and the erosion of potential spatial refugia. Of the 171 individual reefs that were aerial-surveyed three times, 43% bleached in 1998, 56% in 118 2002, and 85% in 2016. Knowing the bleaching-history of these well-studied reefs allows us 119 to investigate why they have bleached zero, one, two or three times. Only 9% of these 120 repeatedly surveyed reefs have never bleached, in most cases because they are located near 121 122 the southern, offshore end of the Great Barrier Reef (Fig. 1e), where they have experienced relatively low temperature anomalies during each event. A further 26% of repeatedly-123 surveyed reefs have bleached only once - ten reefs in 1998, eight in 2002, and 32 for the first 124 125 time in 2016. The latter were primarily in the northern sector of the Great Barrier Reef, which largely escaped bleaching in the two earlier events (Fig. 1a). Thirty-five percent of the reefs 126 have bleached twice, but only one reef bleached in both 1998 and 2002, compared to 58 reefs 127 that bleached either in 1998 or 2002 and for a second time in the severe 2016 event. Finally, 128 129 29% of the repeatedly censused reefs bleached for a third time in 2016, primarily in central 130 areas of the Great Barrier Reef, because they experienced anomalously warm temperatures during all three events (Fig. 1b, e). We conclude that the overlap of disparate geographic 131 footprints of heat stress explains why different reefs have bleached 0-3 times, i.e. the repeated 132 exposure to unusually hot conditions is the primary driver of the likelihood of recurrent 133

bleaching at the scale of both individual reefs and the entire Great Barrier Reef (Fig. 1a, b).
We found a similar strong relationship between the amount of bleaching measured
underwater, and the satellite-based estimates of heat exposure on individual reefs (Fig. 3).
Low levels of bleaching was observed at some locations when DHW values were only 2-3
°C-weeks. Typically, 30-40% of corals bleached on reefs exposed to 4 °C-weeks, whereas an
average of 70-90% of corals bleached on reefs that experience 8 °C-weeks or more (Fig. 3).

### 140 Resistance and adaptation to bleaching

Once we account for the amount of heat stress experienced on each reef, adding 141 chlorophyll-a, a proxy for water quality, to our statistical model yielded no support for the 142 hypothesis that good water quality confers resistance to bleaching<sup>13</sup>. Rather, the estimated 143 144 effect of chlorophyll-a was to significantly reduce the DHW threshold for bleaching (Extended Data Table 1). However, despite the statistical significance, the effect in real terms 145 beyond heat stress alone is very small (Extended Data Fig. 1). Similarly, we found no effect 146 of the level of protection (in fished or protected zones) on bleaching (P > 0.1: Extended Data 147 Table 1). These results are consistent with the broad-scale pattern of severe bleaching in the 148 149 northern Great Barrier Reef, which affected hundreds of reefs across inshore-offshore gradients in water quality, and regardless of their zoning (protection) status (Fig. 1a, b). 150

151 Similarly, we find no evidence for a protective effect of past bleaching (e.g. from 152 acclimation or adaptation): reefs with higher bleaching scores in 1998 or 2002 did not 153 experience less severe bleaching in 2016, after accounting for the relationship between the 154 2016 temperature stress and bleaching propensity (P > 0.9 in all cases; Extended Data Figure 155 3). Thus, while several studies have indicated that prior exposure can influence the 156 subsequent bleaching responses of corals<sup>14-17</sup>, our comprehensive analysis of 171 repeatedly 157 censused reefs indicates that any such historical effects on the Great Barrier Reef were158 masked by the severity of bleaching in 2016 (Fig. 2).

## 159 Winners and losers

Individual coral taxa bleached to different extents, especially on less affected reefs, creating 160 both winners and losers, but the disparity among species diminished in the worst affected, 161 northern regions. (Fig. 4). At the population and assemblage level, when and where bleaching 162 is severe, even century-old corals can bleach (Fig. 2b-d). In contrast, where bleaching is less 163 intense, it is highly selective, with a broad spectrum of responses shown by resistant corals 164 (so-called winners) versus susceptible species (losers); winners by definition bleach less and 165 have higher survivorship<sup>18-21</sup>. On lightly and moderately bleached reefs (<10% or 10-30% of 166 167 corals affected), predominantly in the southern Great Barrier Reef, many of the more robust 168 coral taxa escaped with little or no bleaching in 2016. In contrast, on extremely bleached reefs in the north (60-80% or >80% overall bleaching), we found far fewer lightly-bleached 169 winners (Fig. 4). The rank order of winners versus losers also changed as the severity of 170 bleaching increased (Extended Data Table 2), reflecting disparate responses by each taxon to 171 the range of bleaching intensities. Thus, even species that are winners on relatively mildly 172 bleached reefs joined the ranks of losers where bleaching was more intense (Fig. 4), creating 173 a latitudinal gradient in the response of the coral assemblages. 174

The recovery time for coral species that are good colonizers and fast growers is 10-15 years<sup>22-</sup> <sup>24</sup>, but when long-lived corals die from bleaching their replacement will necessarily take many decades. Recovery for long-lived species requires the sustained absence of another severe bleaching event (or other significant disturbance), which is no longer realistic while global temperatures continue to rise<sup>25</sup>. Therefore, the assemblage structure of corals is now likely to be permanently shifted at severely bleached locations in the northern Great Barrier Reef.

#### **182** Implications for reef management

Our analysis has important implications for the management and conservation of coral reefs. 183 184 We found that local management of coral reef fisheries and water-quality affords little if any resistance to recurrent severe bleaching events: even the most highly protected reefs and 185 near-pristine areas are highly susceptible to severe heat stress. On the remote northern Great 186 187 Barrier Reef, hundreds of individual reefs were severely bleached in 2016 regardless of whether they were zoned as no-entry, no-fishing, or open to fishing, and irrespective of 188 inshore-offshore differences in water quality (Fig. 1a and Extended Data Fig. 1). However, 189 190 local protection of fish stocks and improved water quality may, given enough time, improve the prospects for recovery<sup>3,4,26-29</sup>. A key issue for all coral reefs is the frequency, or return 191 time, of recurrent disturbance events, and whether there is sufficient time between successive 192 bleachings for the re-assembly of mature coral assemblages. The chances of the northern 193 Great Barrier Reef returning to its pre-bleaching assemblage structure are slim given the scale 194 of damage that occurred in 2016 and the likelihood of a fourth bleaching event occurring 195 within the next decade or two as global temperatures continue to rise. 196

Identifying and protecting spatial refugia is a common strategy for conservation of threatened 197 species and ecosystems, including coral reefs<sup>30</sup>. However, our analyses indicate that the 198 cumulative footprint of recurrent bleachings is expanding, and the number of potential 199 refugia on the Great Barrier Reef is rapidly diminishing. Indeed, the remote northern region 200 escaped serious damage in 1998 and 2002, but bore the brunt of extreme bleaching in 2016. 201 Rather than relying on the premise of refugia, our results highlight the growing importance of 202 promoting the recovery of reefs to recurrent bleaching events through local management of 203 marine parks and water quality. However, bolstering resilience will become more challenging 204 and less effective in coming decades because local interventions have had no discernible 205 effect on resistance of corals to extreme heat stress, and, with increasing frequency of severe 206

- 207 bleaching events, the time for recovery is diminishing. Securing a future for coral reefs,
- 208 including intensively managed ones such as the Great Barrier Reef, ultimately requires urgent
- 209 and rapid action to reduce global warming.

# **References**

212	1.	Heron, S. F., Maynard, J. A., van Hooidonk, R. & Eakin, C. M. Warming trends and
213		bleaching stress of the World's coral reefs 1985-2012. Scientific Reports 6, 38402
214		(2016)
215	2.	Spalding, M. D. & Brown, B. E. Warm-water coral reefs and climate change. Science
216		<b>350</b> , 769-771 (2015).
217	3.	Baker, A. C., Glynn, P. W. & Riegl, B. Climate change and coral reef bleaching: An
218		ecological assessment of long-term impacts, recovery trends and future outlook.
219		Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 80, 435-471 (2008).
220	4.	Hughes, T. P. et al. Climate change, human impacts, and the resilience of coral reefs.
221		Science <b>301</b> , 929-933 (2003).
222	5.	Glynn, P. W. Widespread coral mortality and the 1982-83 El Nino Warming Events.
223		Environ. Conser. 11, 133-146 (1984).
224	6.	Oliver, J. K., Berkelmans, R. & Eakin, C. M. in Ecological Studies: Analysis and
225		Synthesis (M. J. H. van Oppen & J. M. Lough eds.) 21-39 (2009).
226	7.	Eakin, C. M. et al. Global Coral Bleaching 2014-2017. Reef Currents 31, 1 (2016).
227	8.	Berkelmans, R., De'ath, G., Kininmonth, S. & Skirving, W. J. A comparison of the
228		1998 and 2002 coral bleaching events on the Great Barrier Reef: spatial correlation,
229		patterns, and predictions. Coral Reefs 23, 74-83 (2004).
230	9.	Carrigan, A. D. & Puotinen, M. Tropical cyclone cooling combats region-wide coral
231		bleaching. Global Change Biol. 20, 1604-1613 (2014).
232	10.	Leahy, S. M., Kingsford, M. J, & Steinberg, C. R. Do clouds save the Great Barrier
233		Reef? Satellite imagery elucidates the cloud-SST relationship at the local scale. Plos
234		One <b>8</b> , e70400 (2013).

235	11. Australian Bureau of Meteorology (BOM). Tropical Cyclone Stan Track.
236	http://www.australiasevereweather.com/cyclones/2016/bom/tropical_cyclone_stan.pn
237	g (2016).
238	12. Feng, M., Meyeres, G., Pearce, A. & Wijffels, S. Annual and interannual variations of
239	the Leeuwin Current at 32°S. J. Geophys. Res. 108, 2156-2202 (2003).
240	13. Wooldridge, S. A., et al. Excess seawater nutrients, enlarged algal symbiont densities
241	and bleaching sensitive reef locations: 2. A regional-scale predictive model for the
242	Great Barrier Reef, Australia. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.09.045
243	(2016).
244	14. Carilli, J., Donner, S. D. & Hartmann, A. C. Historical temperature variability affects
245	coral response to heat stress. PloS One 7, e34418 (2012).
246	15. Guest, J. R. et al. Contrasting patterns of coral bleaching susceptibility in 2010
247	suggest an adaptive response to thermal stress. PLoS One 7, e33353 (2012).
248	16. Pratchett, M. S., McCowan, D., Maynard, J. A. & Heron, S. F. Changes in bleaching
249	susceptibility among corals subject to ocean warming and recurrent bleaching in
250	Moorea, French Polynesia. PLoS One 8, e70443 (2013).
251	17. Ainsworth, T. D. et al. Climate change disables coral bleaching protection on the
252	Great Barrier Reef. Science 352, 338-342 (2016).
253	18. Loya, Y. et al. Coral bleaching: the winners and the losers. Ecol. Lett. 4, 122-131
254	(2001).
255	19. Swain, T. D. et al. Coral bleaching response index: a new tool to standardize and
256	compare susceptibility to thermal bleaching. Glob. Chan. Biol. 22, 2475-2488 (2016).
257	20. Baird, A. H. & Marshall, P. A. Mortality, growth and reproduction in scleractinian
258	corals following bleaching on the Great Barrier Reef. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 237, 133-
259	141 (2002).

260	21. Marshall, P. A. & Baird, A. H. Bleaching of corals on the Great Barrier Reef:
261	differential susceptibilities among taxa. Coral Reefs. 19, 155-163 (2000).
262	22. Connell, J. H., Hughes, T. P. & Wallace, C. C. A 30-year study of coral community
263	dynamics: Influence of disturbance and recruitment on abundance, at several scales in
264	space and time. Ecol. Monogr. 67, 461-488 (1997).
265	23. Kayanne, H., Harii, S., Ide, Y. & Akimoto, F. Recovery of coral populations after the
266	1998 bleaching on Shiraho Reef, in the southern Ryukyus, NW Pacific. Mar. Ecol.
267	Prog. Ser. <b>239</b> , 93-103 (2002).
268	24. Gilmour J. P., Smith, L. D., Heyward, A. J., Baird, A. H. & Pratchett, M. S. Recovery
269	of an isolated coral reef system following severe disturbance. Science <b>340</b> , 69-71
270	(2013).
271	25. van Hooidonk, R. et al. Local-scale projections of coral reef futures and implications
272	of the Paris Agreement. Scientific Reports (in press).
273	26. Scheffer, M. et al. Creating a safe operating space for iconic ecosystems. Science 347,
274	1317-1319 (2015).
275	27. van de Leemput, I. A., Hughes, T. P., van Nes, E. H. & Scheffer, M. Multiple
276	feedbacks and the prevalence of alternate stable states on coral reefs. Coral Reefs 35,
277	857-865 (2016).
278	28. Hughes, T. P., Graham, N. A. J., Jackson, J. B. C., Mumby, P. J. & Steneck, R. S.
279	Rising to the challenge of sustaining coral reef resilience. Trends Ecol. Evol. 25, 633-
280	642 (2010).
281	29. Graham, N., Jennings, S., Macneil. M. A., & Mouillot, D. Predicting climate-driven
282	regime shifts versus rebound potential in coral reefs. Nature 518, 94-97 (2015).
283	30. West, J. M. & Salm, R. V. Resistance and resilience to coral bleaching: implications
284	for coral reef conservation and management. Conserv. Biol. 17, 956-967 (2003).

285 Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge the 23 institutions that supported this research, in Australia, Canada, UK, Saudi Arabia and the USA. Twenty-six of the authors are 286 supported by funding from the Australian Research Council's Centre of Excellence Program. 287 Other funding support includes the Australian Commonwealth Government, the European 288 Union, the USA National Oceanographic & Atmospheric Administration, and USA National 289 Science Foundation. The contents in this manuscript are solely the opinions of the authors 290 291 and do not constitute a statement of policy, decision or position on behalf of NOAA or the U.S. Government. We thank 43 colleagues who provided coral bleaching records for their 292 293 field sites, and 30 student volunteers who participated in field and laboratory studies in 2016.

**Author contributions** The study was conceptualized by TPH who wrote the first draft of the

295 paper. All authors contributed to writing subsequent drafts. JTK coordinated data

compilation, analysis and graphics. Aerial bleaching surveys in 2016 of the Great Barrier

297 Reef and Torres Strait were executed by JTK, TPH and TS, and in 1998 and 2002 by RB and

298 DRW. Underwater bleaching censuses in 2016 were undertaken on the Great Barrier Reef by

299 MAN, AHB, DRB, MB, NEC, CYK, GDP, ASH, MOH, EVK, MMcW, RJP, MSP, GT and

BLW, in the coral Sea by TCB and HBH, in subtropical Queensland and New South Wales

by MB, IRB, RCB, SJD, WFF, HAM, JMP, and BS, off western Australia by RCB, SC, JPG,

JPH, MMcC, VS and SKW. JGA-R, SRC, CME, SFH, GL, JML, and WJS undertook the

analysis matching satellite data to the bleaching footprints on the Great Barrier Reef

**Author information** Reprints and permissions information is available at

305 www.nature.com/reprints. The authors declare no competing financial interests. Readers are

306 welcome to comment on the online version of the paper. Correspondence and requests for

307 materials should be addressed to T.P.H. (terry.hughes@jcu.edu.au)

#### **Figure legends**

15

Figure 1. Geographic extent and severity of recurrent coral bleaching at a regional scale, 309 Australia. (a) The footprint of bleaching on the Great Barrier Reef in 1998, 2002 and 2016, 310 measured by extensive aerial surveys: dark green (<1% of corals bleached), light green (1-311 10%), yellow (10-30%), orange (30-60%), red (>60%). The number of reefs surveyed in each 312 313 year was 638 (1998), 631 (2002), and 1,156 (2016). (b) Spatial pattern of heat stress (Degree Heating Weeks, DHWs, <sup>o</sup>C-weeks) during each mass bleaching event. (c) Frequency 314 distribution of maximum DHWs on the Great Barrier Reef, in 1998, 2002 and 2016. White 315 bars indicate 0-4 °C-weeks, grey bars 4-8 °C-weeks, black bars >8 °C-weeks. (d) Locations 316 of individual reefs that bleached (by >10% or more) in 1998, 2002 and/or 2016, showing the 317 most severe bleaching score for reefs that were censused more than once. Yellow (10-30% 318 bleaching), Orange (30-60%), Red (>60%). (e) Location of reefs that were censused in all 319 320 three years that bleached zero (white), one (light grey), two (dark grey) or three times (black). (f) Frequency distribution of aerial bleaching scores for reefs surveyed in 1998 (left bar), 321 322 2002 (middle), and 2016 (right). Colour bleaching scores as in (a). (g) Bleaching severity 323 during March to early April 2016 on both sides of Australia, including the Coral Sea and the eastern Indian Ocean. Colour bleaching scores as in (a). Bar graphs show mean sea-surface 324 temperatures during March for each year from 1980 to 2016 for northern and southern 325 latitudes on either side of Australia. The red bar highlights the north-south disparity in 2016. 326 Figure 2. Recurrent severe coral bleaching. (a) Aerial view of severe bleaching in Princess 327 Charlotte Bay, NE Australia, March 2016. Close to 100% of corals are bleached on the reef 328 flat and crest. Bleaching occurs when algal symbionts (Symbiodinium spp.) in a coral host are 329 killed by environmental stress, revealing the white underlying skeleton of the coral. (b) 330 Severe bleaching in 2016 on the northern Great Barrier Reef affected even the largest and 331 oldest corals, such as this slow-growing Porites colony. (c) Large, old beds of clonal staghorn 332

333 corals, Acropora pulchra, on Orpheus Island, Queensland photographed in 1997 were killed by the first major bleaching event on the Great Barrier Reef in 1998. (d) Eighteen years later 334 in May 2016, corals at this site have never recovered, with the original assemblages still 335 336 visible as dead, unconsolidated and muddy rubble that is unsuitable for successful colonization by coral larvae. (e-f) Mature stands of clonal staghorn corals were extirpated by 337 heat stress and colonized by algae over a period of just a few weeks in 2016 on Lizard Island, 338 Great Barrier Reef. Before (e) and after (f) photographs were taken on February 26<sup>th</sup> and 339 April 19th 2016. Photo credits: (a) JTK, (b) J. Marshall, (c) BW, (d) AHB, (e-f) R. Streit. 340 341 Figure 3. The relationship between heat exposure (satellite-based Degree Heating Weeks in 2016) and the amount of bleaching measured underwater (percent of corals bleached) in 342 March/April. Each data point represents an individual reef (n = 69). The fitted line is y =343  $48.6\ln(x) - 21.6$ ,  $R^2 = 0.545$ . 344 Figure 4. Spectrum of bleaching responses by coral taxa on the Great Barrier Reef in 2016, 345

Figure 4. Spectrum of bleaching responses by coral taxa on the Great Barrier Reef in 2016,
with relative winners on the right, and losers on the left. Species or genera (58,414 colonies)
are plotted in rank descending order along the x-axis from high to low levels of impact, for
reefs that are lightly bleached (bottom spectrum) or more severely bleached (top). Reef-scale
bleaching severities are (blue) 1-10% of all corals bleached, (green) 10-30%, (yellow) 3060%, (orange) 60-80%, and (red) >80% bleached. See Extended Data Table 2 for taxonomic
details.

#### 353 Methods

#### **Recurrent bleaching on the Great Barrier Reef**

For 2016, comprehensive aerial surveys of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park and Torres 355 Strait reported in Fig. 1a were conducted on ten days between 22<sup>nd</sup> March 2016 and 17<sup>th</sup> April 356 2016 when bleaching was highly visible. We used light aircraft and a helicopter, flying at an 357 elevation of approximately 150 m. A total of 1,156 individual reefs from the coast to the edge 358 of the continental shelf were assessed along 14° of latitude (Extended Data Fig. 4). Each reef 359 was assigned by visual assessment to one of five categories of bleaching severity, using the 360 same protocols as earlier aerial surveys conducted in 1998 and 2002 by RB<sup>8</sup>: (0) less than 1% 361 of corals bleached, (1) 1-10%, (2) 10-30%, (3) 30-60%, and (4) more than 60% of corals 362 bleached. The accuracy of the scores was assessed by underwater ground-truthing (see next 363 section). The aerial scores are presented in Fig. 1a as heat-maps (Stretch type: Minimum-364 Maximum) using inverse distance weighting (IDW; Power: 2, Cell Size: 1000, Search 365 Radius: variable, 100 points) in ArcGIS 10.2.1. 366

#### 367 Underwater surveys of eastern and western Australia

To ground-truth the accuracy of aerial scores of bleaching on the Great Barrier Reef (Fig. 1a), 368 369 we conducted in-water surveys on 104 reefs during March and April 2016 (Extended Data Fig. 5). We also measured differential species responses (winners-losers; Fig. 4) on 83 reefs, 370 spanning the 1200 km long central and northern Great Barrier Reef, from 10-19°S. We 371 surveyed two sites per reef, using five 10 x 1 m belt transects placed on the reef crest at a 372 depth of 2 m at each site. Observers identified and counted each coral colony and recorded a 373 categorical bleaching score for each individual: (1) no bleaching, (2) pale, (3) 1-50% 374 bleached, (4) 51-99% bleached, (5) 100% bleached, (6) bleached and recently dead. The site-375 level amount of bleaching for each taxon in Figure 4 is the sum of categories 2-5. The 376

Barrier Reef, and across 18 degrees of latitude along the west coast of Australia (Fig. 1g).

#### 380 Temperature and Thermal Stress

The spatial pattern of thermal stress on the Great Barrier Reef during each of the three major 381 bleaching events (1998, 2002 and 2016; Fig. 1b, c) was quantified using the well-established 382 Degree Heating Week (DHW) metric<sup>31</sup>. The DHW values were calculated using the 383 Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface Temperature (OISST)<sup>32</sup>, because it provides a consistent 384 measure of thermal stress for all three major bleaching events on the Great Barrier Reef. The 385 baseline climatology for the DHW metric was calculated for 1985-2012, following Heron et 386 al.<sup>33</sup>. DHW values are presented in Fig. 2b as heat-maps (Stretch type: Minimum-Maximum) 387 using inverse distance weighting (IDW; Power: 2, Cell Size: 1000, Search Radius: variable, 388 100 points) in ArcGIS 10.2.1. For Fig. 2g, March temperatures were compiled from 389 HadISST1<sup>34</sup> from 1980-2016 for four regions: northwest Australia, 10.5-20.5°S; mid-west 390 20.5-30.5°S; northern Great Barrier Reef (10.5°S-16.5°S), and southern Great Barrier Reef 391  $(21.5^{\circ}S-24.5^{\circ}S).$ 392

# 393 Water Quality Metrics

We considered remotely-sensed chlorophyll-a and secchi depth proxies as water quality
metrics, measured for the Great Barrier Reef<sup>35</sup> over different averaging windows.
Specifically, we used four averaging windows with respect to 2016 (1, 2, or 4 years prior to
bleaching, and a long term 1997-2016 average), and two different time periods (summer
months only [December through May] and the entire year [June through May]). We also
considered derived quantities from these estimates: the proportion of time that reefs exceeded
an estimated water quality chlorophyll-a threshold of 0.45µg/L<sup>13</sup> and secchi depth exposure,

again for four different averaging windows, and for the full year and for summer only. All of
these metrics were significantly correlated with one another. In particular, long-term (19972016) average chlorophyll-a concentration was very highly correlated with all other metrics
(absolute value of Spearman's rank correlation coefficient averaged r=0.81, and was never
lower than 0.7). Therefore, to minimize the risk of Type I error, we used it as the water
quality proxy in our analyses of bleaching, log-transformed to obtain a symmetric distribution
of values.

# 408 Analysis of spatial patterns, resistance and adaptation

To model the factors affecting bleaching in 2016, we used aerial bleaching scores as a 409 response variable; whether a reef was severely bleached (57% of reefs had a bleaching score 410 of 3-4) or not (the remaining 43% of reefs had a bleaching score of 0-2), for all surveyed 411 reefs in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park. We considered temperature stress (measured as 412 DHW, described above), water quality (measured as the natural logarithm of long-term 413 chlorophyll-a concentration), and marine protection status. Reefs in three zones classified as 414 Marine National Park, Preservation, Scientific Research, and Buffer were considered to be 415 Protected in the model, whereas all other zones were Fished. We repeated our test using other 416 splits of bleaching scores (0 versus 1-4, 0-1 versus 2-4, and 0-3 versus 4), although these led 417 to more uneven splits of the data. Regardless of how the bleaching scores were binned, the 418 419 severity of bleaching was significantly correlated with DHW, while the additional variables had effects that were similar to our original analysis: small in magnitude or statistically non-420 significant. 421

To calibrate the relationship between temperature and bleaching, we fit a generalized linear
model (GLM) with binomial error structure, using Degree Heating Weeks (DHW) as the
explanatory variable. To test the hypothesis that high water quality confers bleaching

resistance<sup>13</sup>, we fit a model including both DHW and chlorophyll-a as explanatory variables, 425 and asked whether the effect of chlorophyll-a concentration was significantly positive (that 426 is, if reefs with higher chlorophyll-a concentrations had a higher probability of bleaching). 427 428 Similarly, to test the hypothesis that fishing increases bleaching resistance, we fit a model including DHW and protection status as explanatory variables, and asked whether the effect 429 of protection was significantly negative (Protected reefs had a lower probability of bleaching, 430 431 at a given level of temperature stress, than Fished reefs, see Extended Data Fig. 1 and Extended Data Table 1). 432

To test for evidence of acclimation or adaptation, we extracted the residuals from our DHW-433 only generalized linear model (Extended Data Table 1), and we tested for a negative 434 correlation between the residuals and the aerial bleaching scores recorded during prior events: 435 1998, 2002, or the higher of the two earlier scores (Extended Data Fig. 1). That is, we tested 436 437 the hypothesis that reefs that bleached more severely in prior events were less likely to bleach at a given temperature stress in 2016, compared to reefs that bleached less in prior events. 438 Because bleaching score is ordered and categorical, we tested this hypothesis with Kendall's 439 440 tau.

#### 441 Methods References

442 31. Eakin, C. M. et al. Caribbean Corals in Crisis: Record Thermal Stress, Bleaching, and
443 Mortality in 2005. PloS One 5, e13969 (2010).

- 444 32. Reynolds, R. W. et al. Daily high-resolution-blended analyses for sea surface
  445 temperature. J. Clim. 20, 5473-5496 (2007).
- 446 33. Heron, S.F., et al. Climatology development for NOAA Coral Reef Watch's 5-km
- 447 product suite. 30 (NOAA Technical Report NESDIS 145. NOAA/NESDIS.
- 448 doi:10.7289/V59C6VBS, 2014).

449	34. Rayner, N. et al. Global analyses of sea surface temperature, sea ice, and night marine
450	air temperature since the late nineteenth century. J. Geophys. Res. D 108, 4407
451	(2003).

- 452 35. Globcolour. Remotely-sensed chlorophyll concentration (mg/m<sup>3</sup>) and Secchi Disk
- 453 depth (m) based on Sea-Viewing Wide Field of View Sensor (SeaWIFS) imagery.
- 454 <u>http://hermes.acri.fr/n</u> (2016).

# 455 Data and code availability

456 Data and code available on request from the authors.

#### 458 Extended Data Figure Legends

Extended Data Figure 1. A General Linear Model to explain the severity of coral bleaching. 459 Curves show the estimated relationships between probability of severe bleaching (>30%) on 460 individual reefs of the Great Barrier Reef in 2016 and three explanatory variables (Degree 461 Heating Weeks, chlorophyll-a, and Reef Zoning, see Extended Data Table 1): The DHW-462 only model is shown in black. For the DHW plus chlorophyll-a model, the blue threshold 463 shows the estimated relationship between probability of severe bleaching and DHW for the 464 25<sup>th</sup> percentile of chlorophyll-a, and the brown threshold shows the same for the 75<sup>th</sup> 465 466 percentile of chlorophyll-a. For the DHW plus Reef Zoning model, the red threshold, shows the relationship for fished reefs, and the green for unfished reefs. Water quality metrics and 467 level of reef protection make little if any difference. 468

469 Extended Data Figure 2. Difference in daily sea surface temperatures between the northern
470 and southern Great Barrier Reef, before and after ex-Tropical Cyclone Winston. The
471 disparity between Lizard Island (14.67°S) and Heron Island (23.44°S) increased from 1°C in
472 late February to 4°C in early March, 2016.

Extended Data Figure 3. A test for the effect of past bleaching experience on the severity of
bleaching in 2016. The relationship between previous bleaching scores (in 1998 or 2002,
whichever was higher) and the residuals from the DHW generalized linear model (Extended
Data Table 1). Each data point represents an individual reef that was scored repeatedly. There
is no negative relationship to support acclimation or adaptation.

478 Extended Data Figure 4. Flight tracks of aerial surveys of coral bleaching, conducted along
479 and across the Great Barrier Reef and Torres Strait in March and April 2016.

480 **Extended Data Figure 5.** Ground-truthing comparisons of aerial and underwater bleaching

481 scores. Aerial scores are: 0 (<1% of colonies bleached), 1 (1-10%), 2 (10-30%), 3 (30-60%)

and 4 (60-100%) on the Great Barrier Reef in 2016 (Fig. 1a). Continuous (0-100%)

underwater scores are based on in situ observations from 259 sites (104 reefs). Error bars

484 indicate two standard errors above and below the median underwater score, separately for

each aerial category. The dashed horizontal grey lines show the upper and lower boundaries

486 of each bleaching category.

487 **Extended Data Table 1.** A test for the causes of coral bleaching. Generalized linear models

488 (GLM) show the relationship between severe bleaching of reefs (>30%) in 2016 on the Great

489 Barrier Reef and three explanatory variables. Explanatory variables were (A) Degree Heating

490 Weeks (DHW), (B) DHW plus water quality (natural logarithm of chlorophyll-a

491 concentration), and (C) DHW plus reef zoning (Protected or Fished). Note that the estimated

effect of chlorophyll-a is negative, contrary to the hypothesis that good water quality confersresistance to bleaching.

# 494 Extended Data Table 2.

495

496 Winners and losers. Rank order of taxa, from most bleached to least bleached, for different

497 severities of bleaching. See Fig. 4.